



Adaptive Reuse of Cultural Heritage

Maha Shree J¹, Yogesvar R², Madhushri R³, Vishal R⁴, Eunice J⁵

^{1,2,3,4}UG - Civil Engineering, Thiagarajar College of Engineering, Tamil Nadu, India.

⁵Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering, Thiagarajar College of Engineering, Tamil Nadu, India.

Emails: rmadhushri20@gmail.com¹

Abstract

This research explores the critical intersection of spatial development and conservation models for regional sustainable development with a focus on the urban dimension of reuse potentiality. Adaptive reuse stands as a vital strategy in the preservation and revitalization of cultural heritage sites worldwide. This paper explores the multifaceted dimensions of adaptive reuse within the context of cultural heritage, examining its significance, challenges, and innovative approaches. Through a comprehensive review of literature and case studies, this study elucidates the diverse methodologies employed in adapting historical structures for contemporary uses while safeguarding their intrinsic cultural value. It investigates the socio-economic benefits accrued from adaptive reuse initiatives, including heritage tourism promotion, community revitalization, and sustainable development. Moreover, the paper addresses the ethical considerations and conservation principles guiding adaptive reuse practices to ensure the preservation of authenticity, integrity and significance of cultural heritage assets. By synthesizing theoretical frameworks with practical insights, this research contributes to the discourse on sustainable heritage management and underscores the imperative of adaptive reuse as a dynamic tool for safeguarding cultural heritage for future generations.

Keywords: Cultural Heritage, Conservation Model, Sustainable Development.

1. Introduction

The adaptive reuse of cultural heritage holds significant potential for fostering cultural and social innovation, creating productive networks and contributing to sustainable development. Innovation, closely tied to creativity, plays a pivotal role in shaping culture, knowledge and art. The convergence of various elements in open hubs facilitates cross-fertilization, social capital, knowledge sharing, and resource sharing and cost reductions [1]. To systematically explore and advance knowledge on the adaptive reuse of cultural heritage, each phase of the process needs thorough examination. Architectural practices increasingly prioritize the reuse of existing buildings, driven by the imperative for sustainable development, cost-effective architecture and a heightened awareness of the need to preserve architectural heritage. This underscores the growing importance of "adaptive reuse," involving changes in a building's function,

program and physical structure to meet new needs and requirements. This introduction sets the stage for exploring the potentiality of adaptive reuse in various contexts, including urban regeneration, vacancy analysis and the repurposing of industrial heritage. The subsequent sections delve into specific methodologies, models and case studies, shedding light on the diverse dimensions of adaptive reuse in cultural heritage and its impact on regional growth, sustainable development and retail design [2].

2. Creative Adaptive Reuse of Cultural Heritage

Cultural heritage adaptive reuse fosters cultural and social innovation, creating productive networks. Innovation, tied to creativity, drives culture, knowledge and art. Open hubs encourage cross-fertilization, social capital and cost reductions. To advance knowledge, each phase of the adaptive reuse process must be explored. Local communities and creative enterprises can drive building reuse by

innovating the building's functions [3]. Modern architectural practice prioritizes reusing existing buildings due to sustainable development, cost-effectiveness, and heritage preservation. 'Adaptive reuse' involves changing a building's function and physically adapting it to new needs. Preserving old structures gains importance due to sustainable development, cost-effective architecture and heritage preservation. The term 'adaptive reuse' lacks a universally accepted definition but signifies modifying a building's function and physical structure. In historical centers, commercial development and retail-reuse have been ongoing. Façadism, leaving a façade intact while demolishing the building, was common, though discouraged today [4]. Despite conservation policies, it persists in historical centers facing development pressure and is often allowed by local planning departments.

3. Evaluating Adaptive Reuse as An Urban Regeneration Strategy Through Understanding Vacancy

3.1. The Vacancy Visual Analytics Method

VVAM addresses the lack of critical research and data on commercial building vacancy, providing an objective measurement through a cross-sectional research design [5]. This method quantifies and visualizes vacancy distribution within a building population or city, offering quick and cost-effective insights at a specific point in time. The study focuses on untenanted and grey space vacancies, showcasing a unique approach. The methodology involves three phases: evaluating a secondary dataset, creating a building population sample, and measuring and displaying various vacancies. This paper outlines steps 1-3 before delving into Adelaide's case study, emphasizing VVAM's value for urban planning officials and showcasing its role in understanding the relationship between policy development and vacancy distribution [6].

3.2. Tenant Fit with Commercial Building Design

More investigation was needed to determine whether the presence of untenanted and greyspace vacancies was related to building grade, size, ownership structure and occupancy arrangement. The chi-square test has been used in a statistical

analysis to determine the relationship between the aforementioned parameters and vacancies. Building size, ownership structure, and occupancy configuration were found to be significant factors in the presence of vacancy ($p < 0.005$). Buildings with larger floor areas for office use, lower levels of subdivision and fewer owner groups tended to have higher vacancy rates. This result disproved the beliefs expressed by stakeholders during interviews that renters in commercial buildings primarily look for vast, unbroken floor plates in premium grade buildings. Alternatively, it presents a different perspective, emphasizing that, in the instance of Adelaide, there may be an even greater need for lower grade, smaller scale office space because large-scale buildings often have a higher vacancy rate [7]. This result calls into doubt the market's requirement for ongoing supply. Total floor area of each building is compared using information from the Commercial Building Disclosure Program's Building Energy Efficiency Certificates and ACC data that was derived by VVAM.

3.3. Abandoned Built Cultural Heritage as a Driver for Regional Growth

After the 1998 Stockholm Conference on Cultural Policies for Development, the perception of cultural heritage in discussions on sustainable development shifted from preservation to actively contributing to thriving urban economies. Now recognized as the fourth pillar of sustainable development, cultural heritage is seen as a strategic resource enhancing social capital, supporting EU objectives like local and regional development and fostering employment [8]. The New Urban Agenda (2016) emphasizes the potential of built cultural assets and cultural landscapes to create inclusive, resilient and sustainable cities. International best practices, exemplified by the Halland Model in Sweden, showcase how preserving built cultural heritage can stimulate regional growth and Sustainable Development through interdisciplinary collaboration [9]. Adaptive reuse of architectural cultural heritage and the development of cultural urban landscapes align with the evolving nature of cities. Reframing heritage transformation within urban development, as proposed by UNESCO

recommendations and emphasized by Bandarin and van Oers (2012), views built cultural heritage as a versatile infrastructure satisfying both heritage and non-heritage demands. This approach shifts from protection to pro-action, aligning interventions with demand and competitive growth strategies while recognizing the potential for heritage in regional development priorities. Despite the European Union's regional strategies not explicitly mentioning heritage, awareness of its benefits can unlock funding opportunities for adaptive reuse and urban transformation projects [10].

4. The Literature on the Potentiality for the Adaptive Reuse of Industrial Heritage

4.1. Socio-Cultural Factors

People around the world are proud of their history, civilization and built heritage. Built heritage preservation and reuse of them enhance people's sense of connection to their local surrounding environments, public image, the feeling of belonging and attachment to the place. A successfully adapted historical building should serve as a means to connect people with their cultural backgrounds that bring a collective cultural identity and remembrance of the past to their life. There are some important signs of socio-culturally well-developed heritage buildings that have been mentioned more often in literature. Adaptive reuse must consider the needs and desires of the community and its users [11]. Moreover, Hill (2016) explained that a socially adaptive reuse project has to preserve the character of an area, improve the quality of public areas and create a sense of place. Older buildings are frequently associated with intrinsic social benefits and play a crucial role in maintaining the attractiveness of the street scape, adding character to neighborhoods and providing an appealing image to the community by means of representing highly crafted elements and materials. Besides, there is a relationship between the reuse of (vacant) heritage buildings and the safety of the communities. Conversion of vacant heritage buildings to adapted reuse buildings not only improves the image quality of the city but also has a considerable positive impact on the decline of criminal activities, anti-social norms, vandalism,

and increasing community cohesion [12]. Raising awareness of local people and promoting educational programs about the heritage value and the benefits of preserving them and in the next step, community participation in decision making, planning and implementation of reuse projects to comprehend their desires and needs can make a big contribution to the socio-culturally success of reuse projects.

4.2. Buildings Suitable for Retail-Reuse

In order to apply research on the general reuse of monuments to the particular phenomena of retail reuse, a classification scheme based on actual cases and literature is devised, identifying the many architectural kinds that are repurposed for retail purposes [13]. A classification is created into three categories: industrial heritage, religious heritage, and other (public) structures, based on Nelissen et al. On the basis of Latham /10/, the final group was further split. Ultimately, six distinct categories were established, including structures with an initial retail use, residential buildings, military buildings, industrial heritage, religious heritage, and (semi-) public buildings. A student project then evaluated the classification against a real-world application. Two groups of six second-year interior architecture students were assigned the task of compiling an inventory of every store housed in a historic structure within a specific area of a city center [14]. The inventory listed the shop's name, address, and whether or not the structure was designated as a monument. Following the setup of the inventory, the students categorized each shop using the provided methodology. Typologies were found to be absent in cases that could not be classified. Six streets in Antwerp (BE) were surveyed by the first group, and seven streets in Hasselt (BE) by the second group. The potential and threats for each retail-reuse sector included in the program were highlighted. Based on a survey of literature, some of which is already reported, the opportunities and risks were tied to societal issues, the urban location, and the architectural form of the building type. Buildings having a retail origin, industrial legacy, and (semi-) public buildings appear to be appropriate for including a retail element, whilst military and

religious structures are not. With the exception of town houses, residential 4-story buildings are typically not appropriate for retail usage. Positive instances do, nevertheless, exist in practice for areas that generally looked unsuitable for retail reuse [15]. A bookstore housed in a church is exemplified in the case study Selexyz Dominicanen. The unique history of the building and creative design solutions in this case allowed for the defeat of the building's threats.

5. Heritage, Adaptive Reuse and Regeneration in Retail Design

In modern architectural practice, preserving old structures for future use has gained importance. The need for sustainable development patterns, the necessity for less expensive physical architecture in the current economic situation, and the growing recognition of the advantages of preserving our architectural legacy are only a few of the causes of this. All of this emphasizes the significance of "adaptive reuse". A commonly acknowledged definition of "adaptive reuse" appears to omit both physically modifying a structure to meet new needs and specifications and altering the function and program of a facility. The phrase can be used to describe "ordinary" structures devoid of historical or architectural significance, as well as buildings of heritage value that are either preserved or not. Repurposing existing buildings for retail and other commercial use is a common practice in ancient city centers [16]. From the perspective of the retail industry, however, managing old buildings is a difficult undertaking. Retail-reuse projects typically involve a large number of stakeholders, each with a distinct set of interests. For example, conservation agencies working on government projects are primarily concerned with protecting the building's historical significance, while investors are primarily focused on project profits. Repurposing historical materials for retail, however, can also present a chance for both industries.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the discourse on creative adaptive reuse of cultural heritage underscores its potential for fostering cultural and social innovation, creating productive networks and contributing to sustainable

urban economies. The importance of understanding adaptive reuse processes, particularly in the context of vacancy is highlighted by the Vacancy Visual Analytics Method (VVAM). VVAM's cross-sectional research design provides an objective measurement of commercial building vacancy, emphasizing the value for urban planning officials and its role in policy development. The study delves into the specifics of adaptive reuse within the commercial sector, revealing significant factors such as building size, ownership structure and occupancy configuration influencing vacancy rates. Contrary to assumptions, this challenges the market's preference for large-scale buildings. Meanwhile, the recognition of cultural heritage as a strategic resource for sustainable development, exemplified by the Halland Model and UNESCO recommendations, reinforces the need for adaptive reuse in urban development. The exploration of potentiality for the adaptive reuse of industrial heritage further contributes to the understanding of regional sustainable development. Overall, these studies collectively underscore the multifaceted benefits of adaptive reuse in different contexts, emphasizing its role in sustainable development, economic efficiency and heritage preservation.

Reference

- [1]. Aas, C., Ladkin, A., & Fletcher, J. (2005). Stakeholder collaboration and heritage management. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 32(1), 28–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2004.04.005>
- [2]. Akande, O. K., Odeleye, D., & Coday, A. (2014). Energy efficiency for sustainable reuse of public heritage buildings: The case for research. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*, 9(2), 237–250. <https://doi.org/10.2495/sdp-v9-n2-237-250>
- [3]. Bullen, P. A., & Love, P. E. (2011). Adaptive reuse of heritage buildings. *Structural Survey*, 29(5), 411–421. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02630801111182439>
- [4]. Chan, E. H. W., & Lee, G. K. L. (2008). Contribution of urban design to economic sustainability of urban renewal projects in

- Hong Kong. Sustainable Development, 16 (6), 353–364. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.350>
- [5]. Conejos, S., Chew, M. Y., & Yung, E. H. (2017). The future adaptivity of nineteenth century heritage buildings. *International Journal of Building Pathology and Adaptation*, 35(4), 332–347. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijbpa-03-2017-0012>
- [6]. Dale, A., & Newman, L. L. (2009). Sustainable development for some: Green urban development and affordability. *Local Environment*, 14(7), 669–681. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13549830903089283>
- [7]. Gonzalez ´ Martínez, P. (2018). From verifiable authenticity to verisimilar interventions: Xintiandi, Fuxing SOHO, and the alternatives to built heritage conservation in Shanghai. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 25(10), 1055–1072. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13527258.2018.1557235>
- [8]. Ivanovic-Sekularac, J., Cikić-Tovarovic, J., & Sekularac, N. (2016a). Restoration and conversion to re-use of historic buildings incorporating increased energy efficiency: A case study - the haybarn complex, hilandar monastery, mount athos. *Thermal Science*, 20(4), 1363–1376. <https://doi.org/10.2298/tsci160208131i>
- [9]. Jensen, P. A., & Maslesa, E. (2015). Value based building renovation – a tool for decision making and evaluation. *Building and Environment*, 92, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2015.04.008><https://culturability.org/>
- [10]. Jonas, D. (2006). Heritage Works: The reuse of historic buildings in regeneration. A toolkit of good practice. from: www.english-heritage.org.uk/.../heritageworks.pdf. (Accessed 17 October 2012).
- [11]. Kohler, N., & Yang, W. (2007). Long-term management of building stocks. *Building Research & Information*, 35(4), 351–362. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09613210701308962>
- [12]. Langston, C., Wong, F. K., Hui, E. C., & Shen, L. Y. (2008). Strategic assessment of building adaptive reuse opportunities in Hong Kong. *Building and Environment*, 43 (10), 1709–1718. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2007.10.017>
- Latham, D. (2000). Creative re-use of buildings, 1&2. Donhead: Shaftesbury.
- Latham, D. (2016). Creative re-use of buildings Routledge. Retrieved November 27, 2022, from INSERT-MISSING-URL
- [13]. Lynch, S., & Proverbs, D. G. (2020). How adaption of historic listed buildings affords access. *International Journal of Building Pathology and Adaptation*, 38(4), 589–605. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBPA-11-2018-0093>
- [14]. Md Ali, Z., Zawawi, R., Myeda, N. E., & Mohamad, N. (2019). Adaptive reuse of historical buildings. *International Journal of Building Pathology and Adaptation*, 37(1), 54–68. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijbpa-04-2018-0029>
- [15]. Shipley, R., Utz, S., & Parsons, M. (2006). Does adaptive reuse pay? A study of the business of building renovation in ontario, Canada. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 12(6), 505–520. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13527250600940181>
- [16]. UNESCO. (2011). Operational guidelines for the implementation of the world heritage. UNESCO World Heritage Centre. <https://whc.unesco.org/archive/opguide11-en.pdf>.