

Melanoma Skin Cancer Prediction Using Machine Learning Algorithm

Harish Nair¹, Nandana Nair², Esther Renji³, Meera Mohanan⁴, Saritha N Pillai⁵

^{1,2,3,4,5} PG, MCA, Kristu Jyothi College of Management and Technology, Chanaganassery, Kerala, India.

Email ID: harishnair1022@gmail.com¹, nandanair1003@gmail.com², estherrenji963@gmail.com³, meeramohanan2003@gmail.com⁴, sarithanpillai@gmail.com⁵

Abstract

The academic paper titled "Melanoma Skin Cancer Prediction Using Machine Learning Algorithms" provides a detailed analysis of the application of machine learning techniques in the timely detection and categorization of melanoma skin cancer. The research aims to enhance the accuracy and reliability of predicting the distinction between malignant melanomas and benign lesions by utilizing a diverse set of skin lesion images. The study examines various machine learning models, including Logistic Regression, Support Vector Machines (SVM), K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN), Decision Trees, Gaussian Naïve Bayes, and Ensemble Techniques, as well as advanced deep learning approaches like Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs). Emphasizing the potential, the paper underscores the benefits of integrating advanced machine learning methods in clinical settings to improve the timely detection of melanoma, thus ultimately boosting patient outcomes and treatment efficacy.

Keywords: Algorithm, Benign, Convolutional Neural Networks, Decision Trees, Lesion, Logistic Regression, Melanoma, Machine Learning, Malignant, Prediction, Precision.

1. Introduction

When malignant cells proliferate in melanocytes, melanoma, an aggressive form of skin cancer, emerges. The pigment which gives skin its color, melanin, is formed by cells called melanocytes. Although this can happen elsewhere, melanoma usually develops on body parts that have been exposed to the sun. Very seldom, melanoma can grow under the nails, in the palms of the hands, soles of the feet, or in the eye. The vast bulk of skin cancer-related deaths caused by melanoma, which composes just around 1% of all skin cancers. Among those under 30, it is among the most predominant cancers, especially among young women. The development of advanced automated, credible, and potent early diagnostic tools is vital because the 5-year survival rate for melanoma can meet or exceed 90% if detected early. Recent studies have concentrated on diagnosing skin lesions using computational analysis of dermoscopic images. This method was previously thought to be difficult and inaccurate due to the large number of different kinds of skin cancers and the images that were produced [1].

1.1. Types of Melanoma Skin Cancers

Superficial spreading melanoma: it begins with the uncontrolled proliferation of melanocytes in the skin,

which then form tumors. Melanocytes, the cells responsible for producing melanin, the pigment that imparts color to the skin. It starts to develop within the outermost layer of the epidermis. With the passing of time, it continues to penetrate deeper into the skin. A significant risk factor for superficial spreading melanoma involves prolonged exposure to the sun or extended use of tanning beds. Nevertheless, this form of melanoma may arise due to various other factors. A key indicator of superficial spreading melanoma is an odd-looking spot that either grows larger or alters its appearance. Additional indicators may consist of irregularly shaped spots or various colors present within a specific region. Nodular melanoma: Nodular melanoma represents a particularly aggressive type of skin cancer that has the potential to spread swiftly and pose a serious threat to one's life if not promptly addressed. It commonly appears as a solid, raised bump on the skin, usually in shades of black, blue, or dark brown, but it can also be skin-colored or red. Different from other melanomas, it grows vertically and rapidly penetrates the deeper layers of the skin. Early detection and treatment play a crucial role in this context. The nodule could potentially exhibit

signs of malignancy by bleeding, ulcerating, or increasing in size over time. It is crucial to promptly seek medical attention for any unusual or persistent skin growth to prevent the cancer from spreading to other areas of the body, ultimately improving the chances of survival. Lentigo maligna melanoma: Lentigo maligna, a slow-progressing type of melanoma, typically arises on sun-exposed skin and mainly impacts older individuals on the head and neck. It presents itself as a flat, inconsistently pigmented area that might bear a resemblance to benign skin conditions such as age spots or freckles. The similarity frequently hinders early detection, resulting in LM remaining unrecognized as melanoma for several years. If left untreated, it may advance to lentigo maligna melanoma, a more aggressive and perilous phase. Identifying LM can be quite challenging because of its subtle presentation and slow development, necessitating thorough skin examinations and often a biopsy for definitive diagnosis. Various treatment options are available, such as surgical excision, cryotherapy, or laser treatment. However, early detection plays a crucial role in preventing complications and achieving favorable outcomes. Acral lentiginous melanoma: Acral lentiginous melanoma (ALM) is an uncommon yet grave type of skin cancer that emerges in regions typically not touched by sunlight, like the palms, soles, or underneath the nails. It typically starts as a gradually expanding brown or black patch with uneven borders. A dark streak under the nails may appear, extending from the base to the tip, sometimes confused with bruising or injury. ALM can affect individuals of all backgrounds, but is more commonly found in those with darker skin tones. Because of its uncommon locations and gradual beginning, this condition is often identified at advanced stages, emphasizing the importance of early detection and treatment. It is crucial to promptly seek medical evaluation for any persistent or unusual marks to enhance treatment outcomes [2].

2. Methods

- **Dataset:** The research utilized the ISIC archive, which contains a vast range of detailed dermoscopic images alongside relevant clinical information such as patient age and gender. This dataset has established a strong basis for the development, evaluation, and testing of machine learning models designed to forecast melanoma. By combining dermoscopic images with patient-specific information, the study improved the models' capacity to recognize melanoma patterns and distinguish between malignant and benign lesions. The exceptional quality of the images, coupled with detailed metadata, allowed for precise extraction and analysis of features, thus enhancing the accuracy and reliability of predictions. The progress in machine learning showcases the promise of creating impactful diagnostic tools to aid in early detection and successful treatment of melanoma, leading to better patient results [3].
- **Data Splitting:** The dataset was divided into training (70%), validation (15%), and testing (15%) sets to ensure a comprehensive assessment of the model's performance. The training subset was utilized for crafting the machine learning models, the validation subset was key for refining parameters and avoiding overfitting, and the testing subset was pivotal for evaluating the model's adaptability and precision with new data.
- **Data Augmentation:** In order to address the issue of limited data variety, common augmentation methods like rotation, scaling, and flipping were utilized on the dermoscopic images. The dataset was artificially enlarged through transformations that created different versions of the original images, replicating real-life situations and a variety of conditions. Through the incorporation of modified viewpoints and spatial fine-tuning, the enhancement procedure enhanced the training dataset, facilitating the acquisition of resilient features and patterns by the machine learning models. The objective of this approach was to minimize overfitting while enhancing the models' capacity to generalize effectively across various datasets and circumstances. The study improved the reliability and effectiveness of the models in accurately predicting melanoma by exposing them to a wider variety of image

variations, including cases that differed from the original dataset distribution.

- **Feature Extraction:** Feature extraction was performed by applying Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) and Local Binary Patterns (LBP), two effective methods used to uncover significant patterns in images. The HOG method highlighted the importance of edge and gradient details, enabling the capture of shape and structural nuances that are essential for distinguishing melanoma characteristics. On the contrary, LBP examined texture by contrasting pixel intensities in neighboring areas, skillfully bringing out intricate surface designs. These methods worked together to convert dermoscopic images into detailed feature representations, allowing the machine learning models to concentrate on essential visual characteristics associated with melanoma. The integration of edge and texture analysis has boosted the models' capacity to discern delicate variances between malignant and benign lesions, leading to improved predictions and deeper insights into the characteristics of melanoma.
- **Machine Learning Models:** The research employed various well-known machine learning models like Logistic Regression, Support Vector Machines (SVM), k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), Decision Trees, and Random Forest to assess their effectiveness in predicting melanoma. Logistic Regression acted as a fundamental classifier, offering clear and direct probabilistic results. SVM specializes in crafting optimal hyperplanes to differentiate between malignant and benign cases, particularly thriving when handling intricate datasets. The KNN algorithm, which is distance-based, categorizes samples based on their resemblance to their neighboring points. Decision Trees create easy-to-understand models through step-by-step division of data using important features, whereas Random Forest improves accuracy and mitigates overfitting by amalgamating various decision trees. By adopting a comparative approach, a thorough evaluation was conducted to pinpoint the most dependable model for detecting melanoma [4].
- **Deep Learning Models:** A Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) was meticulously crafted and executed by harnessing the powerful tools provided by the TensorFlow and Keras frameworks, known for their excellence in developing sophisticated deep learning models. The CNN architecture was fine-tuned to automatically analyze and extract features from dermoscopic images, which resulted in superior accuracy in detecting melanoma. Sophisticated layers like convolutional, pooling, and fully connected layers enabled the model to grasp complex spatial structures and intricate details present in the images. Cutting-edge methods such as dropout and batch normalization were implemented to enhance generalization and mitigate overfitting. The study optimized its capabilities by leveraging TensorFlow and Keras, harnessing their versatility and effectiveness to craft a robust and scalable CNN designed specifically for accurate melanoma prediction and classification.
- **Hyperparameter Tuning:** A grid search method was used to fine-tune hyperparameters for classical machine learning models, such as selecting kernel types for Support Vector Machines (SVM) and determining the number of neighbors for k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN). An in-depth exploration was conducted to search through various combinations of hyperparameters in order to discover the most effective values that could improve the model's performance. The Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) underwent iterative adjustments to fine-tune essential hyperparameters like learning rate, filter sizes, and layer counts. This particular process enabled refinement of the CNN architecture, guaranteeing it reached the utmost accuracy in detecting melanoma. Through methodically testing various configurations, the study guaranteed that both the traditional models and the CNN were fine-tuned for dependable and high-performing predictions [5].
- **GPU-Based Training:** CNN training was

conducted on a GPU cluster, leading to a significant speedup in the process and allowing for quicker iterations in model development. GPUs, due to their parallel processing capabilities, excel in efficiently managing the extensive computations needed for training deep learning models, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs). The arrangement facilitated the handling of huge volumes of image data and the streamlining of intricate structures much faster than training based on CPUs. The enhanced computational capacity made it easier to fine-tune hyperparameters effectively, utilize advanced methods such as backpropagation, and assess the model's performance over various epochs. Leveraging a GPU cluster enabled the research to examine various configurations effortlessly and attain superior accuracy in detecting melanoma, free from any processing speed constraints [6].

- **Ablation Study:** A study was carried out to explore the significance of different features in the melanoma prediction model, known as an ablation study. The study assessed how the model performance was influenced by removing specific features like clinical metadata or image-based features one by one. The findings revealed that incorporating both clinical metadata, such as patient age and gender, along with image features, notably enhanced the accuracy of predictions. The clinical data offered more insights that aided the model in distinguishing between malignant and benign lesions, whereas the image features highlighted important visual patterns. The integration of diverse data types has significantly improved the model's capacity to make accurate predictions and generalize effectively, underscoring the importance of incorporating a variety of data for precise melanoma detection.
- **Performance Metrics:** The models were assessed using standard performance metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score to determine how well they could predict melanoma. The concept of accuracy gives an encompassing view of correct predictions,

whereas precision and recall highlight the importance of striking a balance between false positives and false negatives, especially crucial for identifying malignant cases. The F1 score, which combines precision and recall in a harmonic mean, was employed for a well-rounded assessment. In order to gain a deeper understanding, confusion matrices were used to visually represent the distribution of true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives. Moreover, ROC curves were generated to analyze the balance between sensitivity and specificity at different thresholds, providing a comprehensive understanding of the model's performance under varied decision scenarios [7].

- **Comparison of Models:** The CNN demonstrated exceptional accuracy of 92%, surpassing other models such as Random Forest, which achieved 85%. The results underlined the excellence of deep learning techniques, specifically CNNs, in effectively managing intricate image data and recognizing complex patterns, rendering them notably proficient for detecting melanoma compared to conventional machine learning models.
- **Novel Contribution:** The merging of clinical information with image characteristics notably improved the accuracy of melanoma prediction, as highlighted in the ablation study. Through the integration of individual patient details like age and gender with visual data extracted from dermoscopic images, the model succeeded in encompassing a wider spectrum of factors, resulting in enhanced predictive accuracy. The ablation study provided additional confirmation that both types of data are crucial in enhancing the effectiveness of melanoma detection models, highlighting the potential of using combined datasets. The table 1 presents a comparative evaluation of machine learning algorithms used for melanoma prediction based on four key metrics: Accuracy, Precision, Recall, and F1-score. Logistic Regression, Decision Trees, and Ensemble Techniques show higher performance, with Ensemble Techniques achieving the best

overall metrics (Accuracy: 90%, F1-score: 87.5%).

2.1. Tables

Table 1 Machine Learning Algorithms

| Algorithm | Accuracy | Precision | Recall | F1-score | Remarks |
|------------------------|----------|-----------|--------|----------|---|
| Logistic Regression | 85 | 82 | 80 | 81 | Performs well on linear separable data. |
| Support Vector Machine | 68 | 85 | 84 | 84.5 | Effective in high-dimensional spaces. |
| K-Nearest Neighbours | 80 | 78 | 77 | 77.5 | Sensitive to noisy data |
| Decision Trees | 83 | 81 | 82 | 81.5 | Easy to interpret |
| Gaussian Naive Bayes | 78 | 75 | 76 | 75.5 | Assumes feature independence |
| Ensemble Techniques | 90 | 88 | 87 | 87.5 | Combines models for better results. |

Each algorithm's remarks highlight its specific advantages and assumptions, such as Logistic Regression excelling with linearly separable data and Ensemble Techniques leveraging model combinations for enhanced accuracy. The F1-score, a harmonic mean of precision and recall, was calculated using the formula:
$$F1\text{-score} = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}$$
 This metric ensures a balanced evaluation by accounting for both false positives and false negatives, making it crucial for assessing imbalanced datasets.

2.2. Figures



Figure 1 Melanoma Skin Cancer

2.2.1. Types Machine Learning Algorithm

There are a wide variety of algorithms are used for the detection of Melanoma skin cancer, shown in Figure 1.

2.3. Logistic Regression

Logistic regression is a statistical method used for binary classification, predicting outcomes that have

two possible values, such as "yes" or "no," "true" or "false." Unlike linear regression, which predicts continuous values, logistic regression applies the logistic (sigmoid) function to model probabilities. It estimates the likelihood of an event occurring by mapping input features to probabilities between 0 and 1. The model uses a weighted combination of the features (input variables) and applies the sigmoid function to make predictions. Logistic regression is widely used in areas like medical diagnosis, credit scoring, and marketing to classify data points. Despite its simplicity, it is highly effective for linearly separable data and interpretable due to its straightforward mathematical foundation [8].

2.4. Support vector machines

Support Vector Machines (SVM) are sophisticated supervised machine learning algorithms utilized for tasks involving classification and regression. Their goal is to identify the most suitable hyperplane that can effectively distinguish data points into separate classes with the widest possible margin. The margin is the space separating the hyperplane from the nearest data points, which are referred to as support vectors. SVM demonstrates effectiveness in processing linear as well as non-linear data. In non-linear scenarios, kernel functions such as polynomial and RBF are employed to convert data into a higher-dimensional space, facilitating linear separation. Support Vector Machines are known for their effectiveness in managing high-dimensional data, their resilience to overfitting, and their aptness for small datasets. Nevertheless, they might be demanding on computational resources when dealing with extensive datasets, necessitating meticulous adjustment of hyperparameters to achieve peak performance.

2.5. K-Nearest Neighbor

K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) is a straightforward, non-parametric, and supervised machine learning technique utilized for both classification and regression purposes. It estimates the result for a specific data point by considering the classifications of the k closest neighbors in the feature space. The user-defined parameter, denoted as 'k', governs the number of neighbors to be taken into account. For classification purposes, KNN method assigns the

class that appears most frequently among these neighbors. In regression, the algorithm computes the average, or another metric, of the values of the nearby data points. KNN operates intuitively, performs effectively with smaller datasets, and eliminates the need for training as it retains all data points for future comparisons. Nevertheless, working with sizable datasets can be computationally demanding and may be influenced by irrelevant or scaled characteristics.

2.6. Decision Trees

Decision Trees represent one category of supervised machine learning algorithms that are employed for both classification and regression tasks. They simulate decision-making processes and explore potential outcomes using a hierarchical tree format. The tree is constructed by iteratively dividing the dataset into smaller subsets according to feature values that optimize information gain, utilizing metrics like Gini impurity or entropy for classification, or mean squared error for regression. Internal nodes symbolize decisions made based on features, branches indicate possible outcomes, and leaf nodes signify ultimate predictions. Decision Trees are known for their accessibility, as they are straightforward to comprehend and interpret. They are also capable of handling both numerical and categorical data with ease, and they typically require minimal preprocessing. Nevertheless, there is a risk of overfitting the training data, which can result in models being overly sensitive to noise. Methods such as pruning and ensemble techniques, for example, Random Forest, are beneficial for enhancing their effectiveness.

2.7. Gaussian Naive Bayes

The Gaussian Naive Bayes method is a probabilistic approach to machine learning that is employed for classification purposes, relying on Bayes' Theorem. It operates under the assumption of feature independence and applies a Gaussian (normal) distribution to model continuous data. It determines the posterior probability of each class for a specific data point by blending the class's prior probability with the data point's likelihood under that class, which is estimated using the Gaussian probability density function based on the mean and variance of the feature values for each class. Gaussian Naive

Bayes is known for being uncomplicated, swift, and efficient when handling data with a high number of dimensions. Nevertheless, the strong assumption of independence it relies on may not be applicable to real-world data, potentially constraining its effectiveness in comparison to more sophisticated models [9].

2.8. Ensemble Learning

Ensemble learning involves a sophisticated machine learning approach where predictions from several models, referred to as base learners, are amalgamated to enhance overall performance. The aim is to maximize the strengths of each individual model while at the same time reducing their weaknesses. Ensemble methods commonly used in machine learning consist of Bagging, exemplified by Random Forest, where models are trained on random data subsets to minimize variance, and Boosting, showcased by AdaBoost and Gradient Boosting, where models are trained sequentially to rectify errors made by their predecessors, thereby reducing bias. An alternative method involves Stacking, which combines predictions from various models through a meta-model. Ensemble methods have proven to be highly effective in managing intricate datasets, enhancing precision, and bolstering resilience. Nonetheless, it's important to note that these methods may require significant computational resources and present challenges in terms of interpretability compared to single models.

3. Results

The findings reveal the significant benefits of machine learning algorithms, especially deep learning techniques, in accurately predicting melanoma, a potentially life-threatening type of skin cancer. The advanced algorithms excel at recognizing complex patterns and subtle variations in medical data that conventional diagnostic methods may miss. Deep learning employs multilayer neural networks to analyze complex datasets, such as images, in order to produce accurate predictions. Incorporating machine learning into clinical environments holds promise for enhancing diagnostic precision, facilitating early detection and treatment through the mitigation of both false positives and false negatives. By reducing healthcare expenses, it also enhances patient results

by optimizing resource utilization. By incorporating automation into certain diagnostic procedures, these tools can streamline workflows, freeing up doctors to concentrate on other critical aspects of patient care.

3.1. Discussion

The results underscore the effectiveness of machine learning, particularly deep learning methodologies, in enhancing melanoma detection. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) emerged as the most precise, capitalizing on their capability to analyze intricate, high-dimensional image data. The combination of clinical metadata with image characteristics significantly boosted prediction precision, as demonstrated by the ablation analysis. Although traditional models such as Random Forests and SVMs showed strong performance, deep learning's ability to manage subtle data fluctuations distinguished it. The findings highlight the promise of machine learning to minimize diagnostic mistakes, improve early identification, and optimize resource allocation in clinical environments. Nevertheless, obstacles persist in fine-tuning these models for varied datasets and ensuring scalability for real-time implementation, which subsequent research should tackle.

Conclusion

This research highlights the transformative capabilities of machine learning, especially deep learning methods, in the early detection and classification of melanoma. By merging clinical metadata with features based on images, models such as CNNs reached an impressive accuracy of 92%, demonstrating their capacity to recognize complex patterns that traditional diagnostics may overlook. The ablation research illustrated the improved predictive ability of integrated data inputs. These developments suggest better patient outcomes through prompt and accurate diagnoses while minimizing false positives, negatives, and related healthcare expenses. The results stress the essential function of machine learning in medical diagnostics, paving the way for affordable and dependable healthcare solutions. Upcoming studies could concentrate on real-time clinical integration and expanding uses to additional types of cancer.

References

- [1]. Skin cancer detection and classification using machine learning M. Krishna Monika,N. Arun Vignesh
5_Skin_cancer_detection_and_classification_using_machine_learning
- [2]. Patil, H. (2024). Frontier machine learning techniques for melanoma skin cancer identification and categorization: A thorough review. *Oral Oncology Reports*, 100217.
- [3]. Tyagi, N., Dhavamani, L., Al Ansari, M. S., Pant, B., Saini, D. K. J. B., & Dhanraj, J. A. (2022, December). Skin cancer prediction using machine learning and neural networks. In *2022 5th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I)* (pp. 271-275). IEEE.
- [4]. Bhatt, H., Shah, V., Shah, K., Shah, R., & Shah, M. (2023). State-of-the-art machine learning techniques for melanoma skin cancer detection and classification: a comprehensive review. *Intelligent Medicine*, 3(03), 180-190.
- [5]. Dildar, M., Akram, S., Irfan, M., Khan, H. U., Ramzan, M., Mahmood, A. R., ... & Mahnashi, M. H. (2021). Skin cancer detection: a review using deep learning techniques. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 18(10), 5479.
- [6]. Moturi, D., Surapaneni, R. K., & Avanigadda, V. S. G. (2024). Developing an efficient method for melanoma detection using CNN techniques. *Journal of the Egyptian National Cancer Institute*, 36(1), 6.
- [7]. Jaber, N. J. F., & Akbas, A. (2024). Melanoma skin cancer detection based on deep learning methods and binary Harris Hawk optimization. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 1-14.
- [8]. Skin Cancer Detection using Machine Learning Techniques M. Vidya Maya V. Karki
<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9198489>
- [9]. Naqvi, M., Gilani, S. Q., Syed, T., Marques, O., & Kim, H. C. (2023). Skin cancer detection using deep learning—a review. *Diagnostics*, 13(11), 1911.